

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Initially, Applicant wishes to thank Examiner Bahta for conducting an interview with Applicant's attorney, Benjamin A. Keim, on October 30, 2008.

During the interview, Applicant's attorney asserted that a *prima facie* case of obviousness has not been made because the Office has failed to address claim language entered with Applicant's response filed September 7, 2007. In particular, Applicant's attorney pointed out that language in independent claims 1, 14, and 21 is not rejected by the Office Action dated June 4, 2008.

Applicant's attorney understood the Examiner to tentatively agree to issue another communication based on a review of the references of record and the not-yet-examined claim language. Applicant's attorney thank the Examiner for her consideration.

Applicant's attorney and the Examiner also discussed possible issues regarding 35 U.S.C. §101. However, Applicant's attorney notes that the current grounds of rejection do not include 35 U.S.C. §101.

The claims are believed to be in condition for allowance for at least the reasons discussed during the interview.